

**TIPCHECK Training Programme** 

# Lessons learnt from Energy Audits in EU Industry Insulation offers significant energy saving potentials with rapid payback



### The technical INSULATION "WORLD" has a job to do!

# 2010 We were consuming roughly

### 1.25 earth's

worth of resources.





### The technical INSULATION "WORLD" has a job to do!

# Today humanity already uses the equivalent

### of 1.6 planets.



Source: http://www.footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/world\_footprint/



### The technical INSULATION "WORLD" has a job to do!

- Moderate UN scenarios suggest that if current population and consumption trends continue, by the <u>2030s</u>, we will need the equivalent of two Earths.
- If China consumes at the rate that the US population does (2010), we need two new earths just for Chinese consumers.



Source: http://www.footprintnetwork.org/en/index.php/GFN/page/world\_footprint/



# The EiiF Foundation

- EiiF was established in 2009 by
  - 8 Founding Partners as a non-profit Foundation.
- Today it comprises more than 60 leading industrial insulation companies from global player size to small and medium-sized companies.
- As a neutral and non-profit institution, it promotes insulation as a top-of-mind method of enhancing sustainability and profitability.





# Proof – Ecofys Study

 Climate protection with rapid payback – Energy and CO2 savings potential of industrial insulation in EU 27





# Download on www.eiif.org



## Proof – Ecofys Study

The annual cost-effective savings potential is

=

620 PJ

Equivalent to the Energy consumption of

# **10 million households**





# Proof – Ecofys Study

The annual  $CO_2$  reductions potential is 49 Mt = equivalent to the emissions of

- 18 million middle class cars
- running 12 500 kilometres per year





#### We Power Sustainability

### Proof – Ecofys Study – National Factsheets

### The National Factsheets demonstrate the potentials by country

### > Summaries in EN, DE, ES, FR, IT, NL, PL







European Industrial Insulation Foundation www.eiif.org

hady dan ed word avy much allocate constants dans for 20 induited ed word in hel induited en The calibrity work is enjoy for every safety priorite as is not even the <u>constants</u>.

10

### Proof – Ecofys Study – National Factsheets

### The National Factsheets demonstrate the potentials by country:

	Annua effe savi pote	I cost- ctive ings ential	in in	dustry	in fo fired gene	ssil fuel- power eration	House- holds	Cars	Initial investment	Energy savings potential	Annual savings
FR	51 PJ	3.8 Mt CO2	45 PJ	3.4 Mt CO2	6 PJ	0.4 Mt CO2	750.000	1.9 million	€ 100 million	75%	€ 420 millions
DE	106 PJ	8.7 Mt CO2	80 PJ	6.3 Mt CO2	26 PJ	2.4 Mt CO2	1.500.000	4.3 million	€ 180 million	75%	€ 750 million
IT	65 PJ	4.5 Mt CO2	48 PJ	3.3 Mt CO2	17 PJ	1.2 Mt CO2	1.500.000	2.2 million	€ 90 million	75%	€ 500 million
PL	40 PJ	3.4 Mt CO2	27 PJ	2.2 Mt CO2	13 PJ	1.2 Mt CO2	600.000	1.7 million	€ 35 million	75%	€ 200 million
ES	49 PJ	3.4 Mt CO2	40 PJ	2.8 Mt CO2	9 PJ	0.6 Mt CO2	1.200.000	1.7 million	€ 75 million	70%	€ 400 million
SE	16 PJ	1.4 Mt CO2	15 PJ	1.3 Mt CO2	1 PJ	0.1 Mt CO2	200.000	0.7 million	€ 35 million	70%	€ 150 million
UK	65 PJ	4.7 Mt CO2	46 PJ	3.2 Mt CO2	19 PJ	1.5 Mt CO2	900.000	2.3 million	€ 100 million	75%	450 million

### Proof – Ecofys Study – National Factsheets

The National Factsheet for Poland demonstrates the potential:

Annual cost effective savings potential: 40 PJ and 3,4 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>

Annual equivalent to households:

Annual equivalent in cars:









# Proof – TIPCHECK Report

Survey of **180 energy audits** @ <u>180 industrial plants</u>

Publication: May 2016

Second Edition: November 2016





# Proof – TIPCHECK Report

### Available in Polish

@

### www.eiif.org





 The annual energy savings potential identified by approximately 180 TIPCHECK audits was more than <u>750.000 MWh/year</u> (2,7 PJ/year)

 Resulting in an estimated CO2 emission reduction potential of more than <u>500.000 t CO<sub>2</sub></u>

• Equivalent to the annual greenhouse gas emissions of almost <u>110.000 cars</u>.





Resulting in a TIPCHECK-identified cost **saving potential** for industry of at least:





### After a TIPCHECK audit:

### • <u>3 out of 4</u>

 75% industrial clients have either already invested or plan to invest in the near future (for example, at the next turnaround) in insulation to remediate existing insulation deficiencies.

#### Case Study 1 Coking-oven By-products Plant

#### SUMMARY



At a coking-oven by-products plant in Ghent, Belgium, the plant manager noticed that process efficiency was being compromised by an unidentified heat loss, leading to higher-than-necessary energy bills. A TIPCHECK audit revealed the heat

loss to be caused primarily by the heat exchanger and pipe network. Implementation of insulation recommendations from the TIPCHECK report reduced ongoing energy losses, and the associated investment paid back in less than eight months.

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### Client Details

#### Company: ArcelorMittal

Facility Purpose and Location: Coking-oven byproducts plant, Ghent, Belgium

Project Contact (Role): Johan van de Vijver (Plant Manager)

Quote: "This survey had shown us that there was an issue and that it could be solved relatively easily. We really hadn't considered that the valves and flanges could be an area of key heat loss, but the TIPCHECK images and calculations showed the impact of leaving this area uninsulated."

#### CASE DETAILS

#### Key Facts and Challenges

- The coking-oven by-products plant uses steam to clean coking-oven gases for use elsewhere onsite—an energy-intensive process that operates at temperatures as high as 180 °C.
- Unidentified heat losses were causing process inefficiencies, leading to rising energy bills.

#### Key Findings

- The TIPCHECK audit included 37 thermographic images of 79 different pieces of equipment leading to the conclusion that the largest heat losses were from the heat exchanger and associated pipe work.
- Insulation of the valves and flanges in the areas of largest heat loss had the potential to reduce plant energy costs by more than € 28.000 per year.

#### an Payback

The payback period of this project was eight months.

#### Results

The client implemented the recommendations of the TIPCHECK-certified engineering team and monitored year-to-year energy use to determine the effect of the insulation investment. Actual energy cost reduction slightly exceeded the reduction predicted by the team. As a result, the client has requested the team to conduct similar TIPCHECK audits on other areas of the coking-oven plant.

#### Case Study 2 Sugar Beet Processing Plant

#### SUMMARY



At a sugar beet processing plant in Vierverlaten, Netherlands, the team leader of the mechanical engineering department sought ways to reduce energy losses in line with its Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) programme,

one goal of which is to reduce energy use. A TIPCHECK audit identified critical heat-loss spots in the process and outlined three different remediation scenarios with payback periods of two, four, and six years, respectively. Based on the report, the client was able to prioritise planned remediation efforts to coincide with scheduled plant downtime.



• Based on the implementation rate (55% have implemented 100%, 13% have implemented parts, and 14% are considering implementation),

 The TIPCHECK programme has already resulted in EU-wide annual energy savings of more than 500.000 MWh/year (1,8 PJ/year).

• And CO2 reductions of more than 370.000 t CO<sub>2</sub>

 The Equivalent to the annual greenhouse gas emissions of almost <u>80.000 cars</u>.





Implemented insulation improvements resulting from **the first 119 realised** TIPCHECK audits (68% of all TIPCHECK audits) represent





Refinery – Oil Storage tank roof 60 °C

### Key facts:

- Very old and damaged insulation was present on the roof
- Huge CUI problems sheets of the roof heavily corroded
- Need to demolish the old roof and to replace it



• The owner considered to rebuild the roof without any insulation



Refinery – Oil Storage tank roof 60 °C

- Without insulation the energy loss would have been ~9,500 MWh / € 430.0000 per year.
- An insulation of only 30 mm thickness on the roof, applied with a technical solution which avoids future C.U.I.
  - problems, reduces the energy loss



by 80% with a payback time less than 2 years.



Refinery – Oil Storage tank roof 60 °C

-> The owner decided to insulate the new roof:

- Without insulation the energy loss would have been ~9,500 MWh / € 430.0000 per year.
- An insulation of only 30 mm thickness on the roof, applied with a technical solution which avoids future C.U.I.
  - problems, reduces the energy loss



by 80% with a payback time less than 2 years.



Refinery – Oil Storage tank roof 60 °C

-> The owner decided to insulate the new roof:





**Chemical Plant** 

- Uninsulated pairs of flanges and valves
- Old and damaged insulation partly in place.
- TIPCHECK the savings potential of uninsulated parts and of old and damaged insulation







Chemical Plant – TIPCHECK Result:

- 650 m of piping with missing or damaged insulation
- 300 uninsulated pairs of flanges
- 160 uninsulated valves
- 3 uninsulated tanks
- Process temperature range: from **75 °C** (170 °F) to **150 °C** (300 °F)
- Saving potential: 11.100 MWh/year & 200.000 €/year
- CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction potential: **2.240 t/year**
- Payback time less than 1 year





Refinery – Focus on a high temperature valve

• 12' uninsulated valves

- Process temperature: 260 °C/500 °F
- Saving potential: 114 MWh/year
- CO2 emission reduction potential: 51 t/year
- Payback time less than 1 year









# Why?

- Generally speaking...
  - Lack of information and awareness
  - Split responsibilities and budgets
  - Insulation is not the core business: Companies are using outdated Standards
- Technically speaking...
  - Level of insulation is based on minimum investment decisions like
    - ✓ Safety (maximum surface temperatures)
    - ✓ Minimum process needs
    - ✓ Outdated insulation standards (accepting high & costly heat loss rates)
    - ✓ Maintenance







# Why? – Split Responsibilities

• Insulation energy efficiency investments often become caught in an organizational gridlock between plant management departments.









# Why? – Outdated Standards

 Insulation standards: A simple comparison of industry and building insulation standards illustrates why industry is LOSING not only ENERGY but also MONEY whilst producing tons of unneccessary CO<sub>2</sub>:

	Power Plant	Current building code	Passive House
Temperature	250°C – 640°C	18°C – 22°C	18°C – 22°C
Heat loss (AGI Q101)	150 W/m <sup>2</sup>	< 10 W/m <sup>2</sup>	< 3 W/m2
Insulation thickness	100mm	100mm	350-500mm





## Lessons learnt

- Typical reaction after a first facility walkthrough of a TIPCHECK client:
  "You don't know, what you don't know, until you know"
- TIPCHECK clients are not necessarily and always aware, how much energy they are wasting.
- They usually are not aware how easy and quick it is to stop the energy waste with properly insulated systems/installations.
- Energy is **not the core business** of most industrial players but a necessary means to manufacture their products whatever it is...



### First Advocacy Successes in Germany and France – What about Poland?

• Driven by the initiative of EiiF the following subsidy programmes have been established:





We Power Sustainability

# Climate Change: Marine Ice is Shrinking Dramatically in the Arctic...

• 1984

Source: http://www.spiegel.de/w issenschaft/natur/klima -globales-meereisschrumpft-dramatischa-1122089.html





We Power Sustainability

# Climate Change: Marine Ice is Shrinking Dramatically in the Arctic...

• 2016

Source: http://www.spiegel.de/w issenschaft/natur/klima -globales-meereisschrumpft-dramatischa-1122089.html





# Ice Shrinking in the Arctic...

• The Guardian, 24 August 2017:



# Russian tanker sails through Arctic without icebreaker for first time

Climate change has thawed Arctic enough for \$300m gas tanker to travel at record speed through northern sea route



The Christophe de Margerie carried a cargo of liquefied natural gas from Hammerfest in Norway to Boryeong in South Korea in 22 days.



European Industrial Insulation Foundation www.eiif.org

### Contact

European Industrial Insulation Foundation Avenue du Mont-Blanc 33 1196 Gland (Geneva) Switzerland

Andreas Gürtler Foundation Director

Materiał pochodzi z Konferencji Naukowo-Technicznej Heat Not Lost organizowanej przez https:// hnl.pl/



